

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

1					
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,635	10/25/2000	Kestutis Tautvydas	11536-001001/55190USA8A 4398		
7:	590 03/26/2002				
Christopher D Gram 3M Innovative Properties Company Office Of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER		
			JIANG, SHAOJIA A		
P O Box 33427 St Paul, MN 55133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/696,6	35	TAUTVYDAS ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Shaojia A	-	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) file	d on <u>11 January 20</u>	<u>02</u> .					
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2I	b) This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
<u> </u>		nending in the ann	lication					
	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-12,17-22 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,17 and 26-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11,18-22,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restrict	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Application Pape	rs							
9)☐ The spec	ification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	ing(s) filed on is/are: a		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	osed drawing correction filed			ved by the Examiner	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	edgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>	Some * c) None of:							
	ertified copies of the priority de							
	ertified copies of the priority de							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)		•						
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) tatent Application (PTO-				

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's amendment and response filed on January 11, 2002 in Paper No. 9 wherein claims 3-11 have been amended and claims 1-2, 13-16 and 23 are cancelled. Currently, claims 3-12, 17-22, and 24-30 are pending in this application.

This application contains claims 12, 17, and 26-30 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant's amendment filed on January 11, 2002 in Paper No. 9 with respect to the rejection of claim 4 made under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for the use of the trademark/trade name, EDTA, of record stated in the Office Action dated September 28, 2001 has been fully considered and found persuasive to remove the rejection since the term "EDTA" has been replaced by the real chemical name herein. Therefore, the said rejection is withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment filed January 11, 2002 in Paper No. 9 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-11 and 13-16 made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrews et al. for reasons of record stated in the Office Action dated September 28, 2001 has been considered and found persuasive to remove this particular rejection

Art Unit: 1617

since claims 1-2 and 13-16 are cancelled and claims 3-11 have been amended. Therefore, the said rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-11, 18-22, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews et al. essentially for reasons of record stated in the Office Action dated September 28, 2001.

Applicant's remarks filed on January 11, 2002 in Paper No. 9 with respect to the rejection of claims 18-25 made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews et al. of record stated in the previous Office Action (September 28, 2001) have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive as to the nonobviousness of the claimed invention over the prior art for the following reasons.

Applicant's argument that the preparation of a kit herein comprising the same known composition of Andrews et al. or an article of manufacture comprising the same known composition of Andrews et al. is not considered well within the skill of artisan is not found persuasive. As discussed in the previous Office Action, the determination and preparation of a desired kit packaging are well in the competence level of an ordinary skilled artisan in pharmaceutical science, involving merely routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1617

Therefore, the claimed invention is clearly obvious in view of the prior art.

Applicant's testing data and remarks regarding the results herein on the 2-part systems in the specification have been fully considered with respect to the nonobviousness and/or unexpected results of the claimed invention over the prior art but are not deemed persuasive as discussed below. The testing results on 2-part systems herein provide no clear and convincing evidence of nonobviousness or unexpected results over the prior art since the specification provides no side-by-side comparison with the closest prior art, Andrews' patent (5,460,833), in support of nonobviousness for the instant claimed invention over the prior art. It is noted that the conditions for testing on antimicrobial activity in Andrews are different from the conditions herein, e.g., temperature and time. Therefore, the evidence presented in specification herein is not seen to support the nonobviousness of the instant claimed invention over the prior art.

For the above stated reasons, said claims are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Therefore, said rejection is adhered to.

In view of the rejections to the pending claims set forth above, no claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

Shaojia A. Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 1617 March 14, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600